

**IN THE PROBATE COURT OF DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO
DAVID A HEJMANOWSKI, JUDGE**

Guardianship of _____

Case No. _____

**APPLICATION OF GUARDIAN TO PROVIDE DIRECT SERVICES TO WARD
Sup.R. 66.01(B), 66.04(D) and 66.09(G)**

“Direct Services” are defined in Sup.R. 66.01(B) as services typically provided by home and community based and institutionally based care providers, including medical and nursing care or case management services, care coordination, speech, occupational and physical therapy, psychological services, counseling, residential, legal representation, job training and any other similar services. Sup.R. 66.09(G) prohibits “Direct Services” by a guardian to a ward, unless otherwise approved by the Court and Sup.R. 66.04(D) prohibits the appointment of a direct services provider as guardian for a ward to whom the provider delivers direct services unless otherwise authorized by law.

Check all that are applicable: (Attach additional pages, if necessary)

_____ 1. The Guardian of the ward named above applies to the Court for authority to provide direct services to the ward.

_____ 2. The Guardian of the ward named above applies to the Court for approval of the guardian receiving from a third party compensation for direct services to be provided to the ward by the Guardian. The Guardian is not an employee of the payor.

_____ 3. The Guardian represents to the Court that it is in the wards best interests of the Ward that this application be approved because : _____

_____ 4. Compensation for these services is being paid to the Guardian by _____

4. The direct services being provided are

_____ A. Personal care to a child of the guardian in the guardian’s residence that may involve personal hygiene, feeding, medicating, and/or dressing of the Ward.

_____ B. Other - _____

APPLICATION OF GUARDIAN TO PROVIDE DIRECT SERVICE TO WARD

(12/28/2015)

The Guardian has disclosed this inherent conflict of interest to the Court and requests that the conflict be waived and/or the restricting Sup.R. 66.01-66.09 be waived, to this extent.

Attorney's Signature

Guardian's Signature

Attorney's Printed Name
Address:

Guardian's Printed Name
Address:

Phone: _____

Phone: _____

Sup. Ct. No. _____

ENTRY

The matter has come before the Court for consideration and it is **ORDERED** that:

_____ the Court denies the Application as not being in the best interest of the Ward;
or to the extent that Sup.R. 66.01 – 66.09 (inclusive) prohibit the request, they are hereby
waived pursuant to Sup.R. 76 and the Court

_____ Approves the Application as being in the best interest of the Ward.

_____ Approves the request in part as follows - _____

DAVID A. HEJMANOWSKI, JUDGE